



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/039,176	03/13/1998	CAROL MARY RINES	9263	
7590 05/19/2004			EXAMI	NER
RINES AND RINES 81 NORTH STATE STREET CONCORD, NH 03301			DAVIS, DAVID DONALD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
001100100, 111			2652	1
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	40

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/039,176	RINES ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David D. Davis	2652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	<u> 1arch 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 14,16-20,22,23,25,27,28,30-33,35 and 36 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 14,16-20,22,23,25,27,28,30-33,35 and 36 is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/039,176

Art Unit: 2652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 14,16-20,22,23,25,27,28,30-33,35 and 36 are 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al (US 4,698,838) in view of Dubus (US 4,731,811). Ishikawa et al shows in figure 1 steering wheel region 4 and vehicle cellular radiotelephone 2 for use by a driver in a vehicle.

Ishikawa et al is silent, however, as to a voice controlled switching mechanism programmed with and responsive to a plurality of pre-designated separate voice commands for operation of an "entertainment deck" and cellular radio telephone.

Dubus shows in figures 1-3 voice controlled switching mechanism 2 and 3 programmed with and responsive to a plurality of pre-designated separate voice commands for operation of "entertainment deck" 8 and 9 and cellular radio telephone 12.

Application/Control Number: 09/039,176

Art Unit: 2652

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to provide the cellular radio telephone in the steering wheel of Ishikawa et al with a voice controlled mechanism as taught by Dubus. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to provide a cellular radio telephone in a steering wheel with a voice controlled mechanism to provide a hands-free telephone system. See column 1, line 40 through line 5 of column 2.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicants' arguments filed March 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the third paragraph on page 7 applicant states the following:

While Dubus does indeed refer to a radio 9, this is actually not used for a radio-program reception entertainment function but only to borrow its amplifier-loudspeaker circuit for the convenience of hearing the "radio telephone 12... speech" (Col. 4, line 1-30). Emphasis added.

According to The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition radio is defined as "An apparatus used to receive radio signals; a receiver". According to The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition amplifier is defined as "Electronics A device, especially one using transistors or electron tubes, that produces amplification of an electrical signal". If Dubus was discloses only an "amplifier-loadspeaker circuit", Dubus would have disclosed an "amplifier-loadspeaker circuit", which is congruent with the definition of amplifier. However, Dubus discloses a radio, which corresponds to the definition of radio supra.

Application/Control Number: 09/039,176

Art Unit: 2652

Furthermore, applicant's conclusion that since Dubus discloses utilizing an amplifier in a radio, Dubus **only** uses the amplifier function of the radio is curious. Utilizing an amplifier in a radio does not preclude the use of a radio to receive radio signals as suggested by applicant.

In the fourth paragraph on page 4, applicant asserts the following:

There is absolutely no disclosure of, suggestion or even purpose for a predesignated voice command for switching the car radio 9 or the demodulator 8, and certainly not any separate voice commands for respectively switching a plurality of separate entertainment deck components.

It is curious that applicant's conclusion, supra, is not supported by Dubus. In fact, applicant provides no assertion contrary to the rejection supra, that states Dubus shows in figures 1-3 voice controlled switching mechanism 2 and 3 programmed with and responsive to a plurality of predesignated separate voice commands for operation of "entertainment deck" 8 and 9 and cellular radio telephone 12. Dubus clearly shows speech recognition system 3; switching units 40-43; and a pre-designated voice command flow chart in figures 1-3 respectively.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/039,176

Art Unit: 2652

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-1503. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David D. Davis

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652

ddd

Page 5